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Introduction
This past summer, we evaluated the corn rootworm technologies in side-by-side experiments at four locations in Iowa. The objective of this study was to measure and compare the degree of root protection, standability, and yield provided by the three corn rootworm Cry protein technologies and a standard soil insecticide – Aztec 2.1G.

Materials and Methods
Plots were planted in areas that had been corn rootworm beetle “catch crops” (high populations of late-planted corn) the previous year. The experimental design was a randomized complete block with four-row treatments at Ames, Crawfordsville, and Sutherland. Nashua had six-row treatments. Plot lengths were 70- to 100-ft, replicated four times. Planting dates were: Ames May 14, Crawfordsville May 2, Nashua May 1, and Sutherland April 30. Stand counts were taken approximately four weeks after planting. In late July, following the majority of corn rootworm feeding, three roots were dug from the two center rows of each treatment (two roots from rows 2–4 at Nashua). The root systems were transported back to Ames where they were power washed and rated for injury using the Iowa State Node-Injury Scale. This scale rates roots from 0–3 based on the number of nodes eaten.

Product consistency represents the percentage of times that the roots had ¼ node or less eaten back to within 1½ inches of the stalk. Consistency is a percentage and works on the same principle as a batting average in baseball—the larger the number, the better the performance.

Prior to harvest, the percentage of lodged plants (the stalk or base of plant leaning at least 30 degrees from vertical) was calculated.

Results and Discussion
The amount of injury from corn rootworm larvae was variable across locations and the spectrum of products evaluated. At three locations (Ames, Crawfordsville and Sutherland), there were no significant differences among any of the rootworm products with respect to the amount of root injury. However, at the fourth location (Nashua), Agrisure CB/LL/RW and Aztec 2.1G had significantly more rootworm injury than occurred in YieldGard Plus and Herculex XTRA. The reasons for this difference in the level of rootworm injury are unknown.

At three locations, the product consistencies of Agrisure CB/LL/RW, Aztec 2.1G, Herculex XTRA, and YieldGard Plus were statistically the same, thereby providing similar levels of root protection against corn rootworm larvae. However, at the fourth location in Nashua, Agrisure and Aztec had significantly less protection consistency than either YieldGard Plus or Herculex XTRA. Again, the reasons for this inconsistency are unknown since the same Agrisure hybrid and Aztec treated hybrid were planted at the other three locations.

Lodging ratings were inconsistent and did not appear to be strongly related to corn rootworm injury this year. For example, at Nashua where the YieldGard Plus and Herculex XTRA were 100 percent in consistent root protection, the Herculex hybrid suffered 28 percent lodging. Aztec 2.1G also had poorer consistency ratings at this location, but suffered less lodging than Herculex XTRA.

There were no significant differences in yields at Ames, but there were at the other three locations (data presented in Table 1).
Table 1. Average node-injury, product consistency, percent lodging, stand count, and yield for corn rootworm treatments, 2007.

Johnson Farm, Ames, IA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Placement</th>
<th>Node-Injury&lt;sup&gt;3,4&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Product Consistency&lt;sup&gt;4,5,6&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Percent Lodging&lt;sup&gt;4,5&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Stand Count 17.5 row-ft&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Yield&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; (bu/a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YieldGard Plus</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>0.002 a</td>
<td>100 a</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29.17</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herculex XTRA</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>0.007 a</td>
<td>100 a</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27.17</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aztec 2.1G</td>
<td>T-band</td>
<td>0.011 a</td>
<td>100 a</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29.33</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agrisure CB/LL/RW</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>0.111 a</td>
<td>89 a</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29.17</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHECK</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>1.209 b</td>
<td>17 b</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29.17</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Southeast Research Farm, Crawfordsville, IA<sup>6</sup>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Placement</th>
<th>Node-Injury</th>
<th>Product Consistency</th>
<th>Percent Lodging</th>
<th>Stand Count</th>
<th>Yield&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; (bu/a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YieldGard Plus</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>0.01 a</td>
<td>100 a</td>
<td>54 a</td>
<td>30.00 ab</td>
<td>181 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herculex XTRA</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>0.02 a</td>
<td>100 a</td>
<td>49 a</td>
<td>29.50 ab</td>
<td>159 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agrisure CB/LL/RW</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>0.04 a</td>
<td>100 a</td>
<td>44 a</td>
<td>27.75 b</td>
<td>173 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aztec 2.1G</td>
<td>T-band</td>
<td>0.07 a</td>
<td>100 a</td>
<td>34 a</td>
<td>29.75 ab</td>
<td>182 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHECK</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>2.53 b</td>
<td>0 b</td>
<td>80 b</td>
<td>30.75 a</td>
<td>152 c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Northeast Research Farm, Nashua, IA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Placement</th>
<th>Node-Injury</th>
<th>Product Consistency</th>
<th>Percent Lodging</th>
<th>Stand Count</th>
<th>Yield&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; (bu/a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Herculex XTRA</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>0.02 a</td>
<td>100 a</td>
<td>28 b</td>
<td>34.33</td>
<td>195 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YieldGard Plus</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>0.04 a</td>
<td>100 a</td>
<td>0 a</td>
<td>34.33</td>
<td>228 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agrisure CB/LL/RW</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>0.82 b</td>
<td>17 b</td>
<td>27 b</td>
<td>34.42</td>
<td>189 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aztec 2.1G</td>
<td>T-band</td>
<td>0.87 b</td>
<td>21 b</td>
<td>3 a</td>
<td>34.08</td>
<td>196 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHECK</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>2.21 c</td>
<td>0 b</td>
<td>100 c</td>
<td>34.50</td>
<td>157 c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Northwest Research Farm, Sutherland, IA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Placement</th>
<th>Node-Injury</th>
<th>Product Consistency</th>
<th>Percent Lodging</th>
<th>Stand Count</th>
<th>Yield&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; (bu/a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YieldGard Plus</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>0.02 a</td>
<td>100 a</td>
<td>0 a</td>
<td>32.00</td>
<td>194 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herculex XTRA</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>0.02 a</td>
<td>100 a</td>
<td>14 b</td>
<td>32.00</td>
<td>164 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aztec 2.1G</td>
<td>Furrow</td>
<td>0.10 a</td>
<td>100 a</td>
<td>0 a</td>
<td>32.75</td>
<td>162 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agrisure CB/LL/RW</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>0.12 a</td>
<td>92 a</td>
<td>4 ab</td>
<td>34.13</td>
<td>184 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHECK</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>1.99 b</td>
<td>8 b</td>
<td>74 c</td>
<td>32.88</td>
<td>101 c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup> YieldGard Plus (DKC60-18) and Herculex XTRA (Pioneer 34A20) were treated with Poncho 250; Agrisure CB/LL/RW (N67-W2) was treated with Cruiser Extreme 250; the seed for Aztec 2.1G and CHECK was Pioneer 34A16 and it had no seed treatment

<sup>2</sup> T-band or Furrow = placement of insecticide applied at planting time

<sup>3</sup> Iowa State Node-Injury Scale (0–3). Number of full or partial nodes eaten back to within1½ inches of the stalk

<sup>4</sup> Means sharing a common letter do not differ significantly according to Ryan’s Q Test (<i>P</i> ≤ 0.05)

<sup>5</sup> Product consistency = percentage of times nodal injury was 0.25 (¼ node eaten) or less

<sup>6</sup> 3.06 inches rainfall on 6/22 and 1.64 inches rainfall on 6/23 accompanied with very strong winds caused lodging in all treatments (lodging occurred in the absence of root injury)